Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: KH1066). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

## References

- Domingos, A., Marques, N., Matos, A. P., Valenzuela, M. G. S. & Zinner, L. B. (1993). Polyhedron, 12, 2545–2549.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Erker, G., Dorf, U., Czisch, P. & Petersen, J. L. (1986). Organometallics, 5, 668-676.
- Fleischer, E. B., Sung, N. & Hawkinson, S. (1968). J. Phys. Chem. 72, 4311-4317.
- Gilmore, C. J. (1983). MITHRIL. Computer Program for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Data. Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Scotland.
- Hou, Z., Yamazaki, H., Kobayashi, K., Fujiwara, Y. & Taniguchi, H. (1992). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 722-724.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Lamberts, W. & Lueken, H. (1987). Inorg. Chim. Acta, **132**, 119–122. Molander, G. A. (1992). Chem. Rev. **92**, 29–68.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1987). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure
- Analysis Package, revised. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Schulz, H., Schultze, H., Reddmann, H., Link, M. & Amberger, H. D. (1992). J. Organomet. Chem. 424, 139–152.
- Shannon, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751-767.
- Wu, Z., Xu, Z., You, X., Zhou, X. & Huang, X. (1994). J. Organomet. Chem. 481, 107–113.
- Wu, Z., Xu, Z., You, X., Zhou, X., Huang, X. & Chen, J. (1994). Polyhedron, 13, 379–384.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 558-564.

dihalo-bridged chain of  $CuLX_2$  complexes, where L is 2-(aminomethyl)pyridine.

### Comment

The *cis*-diaquo complexes of copper(II) generated in aqueous solution from the corresponding dichloro complexes have been studied (Morrow & Trogler, 1988; Chin, Jubian & Mrejan, 1990; Wahnon, Hynes & Chin, 1994) for their potential as functional enzyme models of phosphodiesterases and peptidases. We have prepared  $[Cu_2Cl_2(C_9H_{14}N_2)_2(\mu-Cl)_2]$ , (I), as part of our interest in the reactivity and structure of such complexes.



The title complex exists as a dimer which is well separated from the other dimers in the cell. The dimer exists about an inversion centre. The bridging Cu<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> unit is planar with a Cu···Cu' distance of 3.4137(13) Å. The chlorine bridges are unsymmetrical, with a Cu—Cl(1) distance of 2.2705(14) Å and a Cu—Cl(1') distance of 2.8336(16) Å. The geometry about the Cu atom is distorted square pyramidal, with atoms N(1), N(2), Cl(1) and Cl(2) defining the basal plane, and Cl(1') located in the apical position.



Fig. 1. ORTEPII plot (Johnson, 1976) of the title complex showing the atom-numbering scheme. Ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

The geometry about the Cu atom and the structural characteristics of (I) are similar to other  $CuLX_2$ complexes, where L is a bidentate diammine ligand such as N, N, N', N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (Estes,

### Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 1877-1879

# Di-µ-chloro-bis{chloro[2-(N-propylaminomethyl)pyridine-N,N']copper(II)}

DAPHNE WAHNON, CURTIS KEITH, JIK CHIN AND ROSEMARY C. HYNES

Chemistry Department, McGill University, 801 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, Canada H3A 2K6. E-mail: jik\_chin@maclan.mcgill.ca

(Received 6 November 1995; accepted 8 March 1996)

## Abstract

The structure of the title compound,  $[Cu_2Cl_2(C_9H_{14}N_2)_2-(\mu-Cl)_2]$ , has been determined. The geometry about the Cu atom is square pyramidal. The complex exists as a dimer about an inversion centre with the Cu atoms linked by two asymmetric chlorine bridges. The dimeric structure of this complex differs from the polymeric

A

Refinement Refinement on F

R = 0.032wR = 0.031

S = 1.55

1178 reflections

127 parameters

refined

H atom parameters not

1484 independent reflections

Estes, Hatfield & Hodgson, 1975) or N,N-dimethylethylenediamine (Phelps, Goodman & Hodgson, 1976). In all three complexes, the Cu-N and short Cu-Cl bonds are comparable. Interestingly, the reported structures of di-µ-bromobis{bromo[2-(aminomethyl)pyridine]copper(II)} (Helis, Goodman, Wilson, Morgan & Hodgson, 1977) and di- $\mu$ -chloro-bis{chloro[2-(aminomethyl)pyridine]copper(II)} (O'Connor & Edouk, 1985) show that these compounds exist as polymeric dihalobridged chains in which one bridging halide ligand serves to propagate the chain. This is in contrast to (I) which is a discrete dimeric structure. The ligand in our complex differs only by an additional N-propyl group. The Cu-N and short Cu-Cl distances are comparable to those in di- $\mu$ -chloro-bis{chloro[2-(aminomethyl)pyridine]copper(II)}. The long Cu-Cl distance [2.8336 (16) Å] in (I), however, is shorter than that in the polymeric structure of di-µ-chloro-bis[chloro(2-aminomethylpyridine)copper(II)] [3.206 (1) Å].



Fig. 2. Packing diagram (ORTEPII; Johnson, 1976) with ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.

## **Experimental**

2-(N-Propylaminomethyl)pyridine was prepared from the reductive amination of 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde and propylamine (Borch, Berstein & Durst, 1971). The title complex was obtained by combining one equivalent of 2-(N-propylaminomethyl)pyridine and one equivalent of CuCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O in methanol. Slow recrystallization of the compound from methanol resulted in crystals suitable for analysis.

Crystal data
$$[Cu_2Cl_4(C_9H_{14}N_2)_2]$$
Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation $M_r = 569.35$  $\lambda = 0.7107$  ÅMonoclinicCell parameters from 25 $P2_1/c$ reflections $a = 7.0801 (19)$  Å $\theta = 17.5-20.0^{\circ}$  $b = 10.0072 (13)$  Å $\mu = 2.30 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  $c = 16.4820 (21)$  Å $T = 293 \text{ K}$  $\beta = 90.298 (18)^{\circ}$ Block $V = 1167.8 (4)$  Å<sup>3</sup>0.33 × 0.25 × 0.20 mm $Z = 2$ Blue $D_x = 1.619 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Blue $D_x = 1.619 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $H_{int} = 0.012$  $\omega/2\theta$  scans $H_{int} = 0.012$ Absorption correction: $\theta_{max} = 22.43^{\circ}$  $\psi$  scans (Gabe, Le Page, $h = -7 \rightarrow 7$  $Charland, Lee & White,$  $l = 0 \rightarrow 10$  $1989$  $I = 0 \rightarrow 17$  $T_{min} = 0.5952, T_{max} =$  $0.6339$  $0.6339$ reflections

intensity decay: 0.3%

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.011$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.32 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV, Table  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.00005F^2]$ 2.2B)

## Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

$U_{\rm eq} = (1/$	/3)Σ <sub>i</sub> Σ <sub>i</sub> ΣjL	$J_{ij}a_i^*a_j^*\mathbf{a}_i.\mathbf{a}_j.$
--------------------	--------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------

	x	у	z	$U_{eq}$
Cu	0.31859 (9)	0.61232 (6)	0.99881 (3)	0.0322 (4)
Cl(1)	0.28263 (19)	0.38789 (12)	0.98572 (7)	0.0415 (8)
Cl(2)	0.32591 (19)	0.65004 (13)	0.86373 (7)	0.0438 (8)
N(1)	0.2981 (6)	0.8056 (4)	1.0308 (2)	0.036(2)
N(2)	0.3129 (5)	0.5892 (4)	1.1210(2)	0.034 (2)
C(1)	0.2495 (7)	0.9094 (5)	0.9822 (3)	0.043 (3)
C(2)	0.2486 (8)	1.0394 (5)	1.0102 (3)	0.047(3)
C(3)	0.2983 (8)	1.0633 (5)	1.0898 (4)	0.055 (4)
C(4)	0.3464 (8)	0.9587 (5)	1.1403 (3)	0.047 (3)
C(5)	0.3444 (7)	0.8300 (5)	1.1085 (3)	0.034 (3)
C(6)	0.4019 (7)	0.7097 (5)	1.1569 (3)	0.040(3)
C(7)	0.1159 (7)	0.5665 (5)	1.1496 (3)	0.045 (3)
C(8)	0.1030 (9)	0.5212 (6)	1.2390(3)	0.060(4)
C(9)	0.1661 (10)	0.3824 (7)	1.2528 (4)	0.081 (5)

## Table 2. Selected geometric parameters ( $\mathring{A}$ , $\degree$ )

Cu· · ·Cu'	3.4137 (13)	Cu—Cl(2)	2.2591 (13)
Cu-Cl(1)	2.2705 (14)	Cu—N(1)	2.010 (4)
Cu-Cl(1')	2.8336 (16)	Cu—N(2)	2.028 (4)

N(1) - C(1)	1.355 (6)	C(3)—C(4)	1.379 (8)
N(1)—C(5)	1.343 (6)	C(4)—C(5)	1.391 (7)
N(2)—C(6)	1.481 (6)	C(5)—C(6)	1.499 (7)
N(2)—C(7)	1.492 (6)	C(7)—C(8)	1.546 (7)
C(1)—C(2)	1.381 (7)	C(8)—C(9)	1.477 (9)
C(2)—C(3)	1.376 (9)		
$Cl(1)$ — $Cu$ — $Cl(1^i)$	96.83 (5)	Cl(2)—Cu—N(1)	95.70 (12)
Cl(1)—Cu—Cl(2)	94.29 (5)	Cl(2)—Cu—N(2)	176.91 (11)
Cl(1)—Cu—N(1)	165.60(11)	N(1)— $Cu$ — $N(2)$	81.24 (15)
Cl(1)CuN(2)	88.80(11)	CuCl(1)Cu <sup>i</sup>	83.17 (4)
$Cl(1^{i})$ — $Cu$ — $Cl(2)$	93.49 (5)	Cu = N(1) = C(1)	127.0(3)
$Cl(1^{i})-Cu-N(1)$	92.89 (12)	Cu = N(1) = C(5)	114.0 (3)
$Cl(1^{i})$ — $Cu$ — $N(2)$	86.29 (12)		

Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods, with all non-H atoms anisotropic. H atoms were included in calculated positions. All computing was performed using the *NRCVAX* system (Gabe *et al.*, 1989).

Data collection: *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1992). Cell refinement: *TEXSAN*.

The authors wish to thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada and the US Army Research Office for financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, complete geometry and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BK1213). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

### References

- Borch, R. F., Bernstein, M. D. & Durst, H. D. (1971). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93, 2897-2902.
- Chin, J., Jubian, V. & Mrejan, K. J. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1326-1327.
- Estes, E. D., Estes, W. E., Hatfield, W. E. & Hodgson, D. J. (1975). Inorg. Chem. 14, 106-109.
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384–387.
- Helis, H. M., Goodman, W. H., Wilson, R. B., Morgan, J. A. & Hodgson, D. J. (1977). Inorg. Chem. 16, 2412–2416.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1992). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Morrow, J. & Trogler, W. C. (1988). Inorg. Chem. 27, 3387-3394.
- O'Connor, C. J. & Edouk, E. E. (1985). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 105, 107-113.
- Phelps, D. W., Goodman, W. H. & Hodgson, D. J. (1976). Inorg. Chem. 15, 2266–2270.
- Wahnon, D., Hynes, R. C. & Chin, J. (1994). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1441–1442.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 1879-1881

# Bis[di(2-aminoethyl)amine-*N*,*N'*,*N''*]nickel(II) Chloride Monohydrate, a Redetermination

ROSEMARY C. HYNES, CHRISTOPHER J. WILLIS AND JAGADESE J. VITTAL

Department of Chemistry, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada N6A 5B7. E-mail: jvittal@julian. uwo.ca

(Received 1 March 1996; accepted 7 May 1996)

### Abstract

A redetermination of the structure of the title compound, [Ni(C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O, from diffractometer data leads to considerably more accurate geometric parameters than those obtained in a previous determination using film data. The coordination geometry around the Ni<sup>II</sup> atom in the [Ni(C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> cation is a distorted octahedron, with the Ni—N distances in the range 2.083 (4)–2.166 (4) Å and the *trans*-N—Ni—N angles in the range 161.9 (2)–177.5 (2)°.

### Comment

We have been interested in the coordination chemistry of transition metal complexes with alkoxy ligands (Bradford, Hynes, Payne, & Willis, 1990; Hynes, Willis & Payne, 1990, 1992; Willis, 1988). In the course of our investigation on the coordination chemistry of the HOC(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>OH ligand, [Ni(dien)<sub>2</sub>][HOC(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O]<sub>2</sub> [dien is di(2-aminoethyl)amine] was prepared (Hynes, 1989). On recrystallization from a mixture of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>OH, purple hexagonal plates of [Ni(dien)<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O, (I), were obtained as the main product. The structure of this compound is reported here.



The structure of (I) was previously determined from multiple-layer Weissenberg photographs; the intensity data were measured photometrically and refined to  $R_{obs} = 0.092$  (Paoletti, Biagini & Cannas, 1969; Biagini & Cannas, 1970); no absorption correction was applied. This redetermination, using modern diffraction appara-